



# Immingham Green Energy Terminal

TR030008

8.7 Table of Errata

February 2024

Since the submission of the application for development consent a limited number of errors or omissions in the application documentation have been identified.

For each erratum we have identified the document to which the error relates along with a statement about the nature of the amendment and the additional or corrected text shown in bold.

Errata listed in this document are errors which are minor in nature. Errata is not included in the list below for application documents which are going to be updated during the course of the DCO application. Instead in those cases the errors will be picked up when an updated version of the particular DCO application document is provided to the Examination.

<b>Application Document Reference</b>	TR030008/APP/8.7
<b>Author</b>	Air Products BR

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Status of Version</b>
Revision 1	06 February 2024	Procedural Deadline A

## Immingham Green Energy Terminal DCO Application Table of Errata

Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
1	Statutory and Non-statutory Nature Conservation Plans [APP-020]	Document properties title in PDF	N/A	The document properties title for the PDF states A428 Black Cat to Caxton Gibbet improvements. This is an administrative error	<del>A428 Black Cat to Caxton Gibbet improvements</del> TR030008_Immingham_Green_Energy_Terminal_4.10_Statutory and Non-statutory Nature Conservation Plans
2	ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]	8.1.4	8-1	In Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) it states that there was an Appendix 8F: Draft Protected Species Licences however this wasn't required and therefore wasn't included within the application. Reference to it should therefore be removed from Chapter 8.	<del>Appendix 8.F: Draft Protected Species Licences</del> Appendix 8.F: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
3	ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]	Table 8-1	8-7	Text incorrectly refers to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment as <b>Appendix 8.G</b> instead of <b>Appendix 8.F</b> .	Arboricultural Impact Assessment, which covers the impacts of the Project on the TPO woodland is provided at <b>Appendix 8.G Appendix 8.F</b> [TR030008/APP/6.4].
4	ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]	Table 8-1	8-7	Text incorrectly refers to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment as <b>Appendix 8.G</b> instead of <b>Appendix 8.F</b> .	The loss of part of the woodland from Long Strip is fully assessed in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment at <b>Appendix 8.G Appendix 8.F</b> [TR030008/APP/6.4] and in this chapter Environmental Statement (“ES”) Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [TR030008/APP/6.2] in <b>Section 8.8</b> as well as <b>ES Chapter 13: Landscape and Visual Impact</b> [TR030008/APP/6.2].

Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
5	ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]	Table 8-1	8-9	Text incorrectly refers to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment as <b>Appendix 8.G</b> instead of <b>Appendix 8.F</b> .	A single veteran ash tree was located in the TPO woodland of the Long Strip during arboricultural surveys (see Arboricultural Impact Assessment at <b>Appendix 8.GF Appendix 8.F [TR030008/APP/6.4]</b> for full details).
6	ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]	Table 8-1	8-12	Text incorrectly refers to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment as <b>Appendix 8.G</b> instead of <b>Appendix 8.F</b> .	The extent of tree removal is presented in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment at <b>Appendix 8.G Appendix 8.F [TR030008/APP/6.4]</b> .
7	ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]	Table 8-1	8-24	Text incorrectly refers to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment as <b>Appendix 8.G</b> instead of <b>Appendix 8.F</b> .	A single veteran ash tree was located in the TPO woodland of the Long Strip during arboricultural surveys (see Arboricultural Impact Assessment at <b>Appendix 8.G Appendix 8.F [TR030008/APP/6.4]</b> for full details).

Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
8	ES Figure 22.1: Major Accidents and Disasters Study Area, Key Receptors and Locations	N/A	N/A	ES Figure 22.1 was mistakenly not submitted with the application and needs to be added into the application.	N/A
9	ES Chapter 21: Ground Conditions and Land Quality [APP-063]	Table 21-12	21-42	The text relating to the depth encountered column for Granular Glacier Till Deposits reads "Between 16.76.4m bgl and 22.5m bgl" in error. The .4m should be deleted.	<del>Between 16.76.4m bgl and 22.5m bgl</del> Between 16.76m bgl and 22.5m bgl
10	ES Appendix 8.B: Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report [APP-181]	Paragraph 2.3.14	13	Paragraph 2.3.14. states that a narrow band of woodland approximately 40m in width is located on the north side of Laporte Road and that the same woodland band also continues south from Laporte Road and is essentially split into two halves by the road. The paragraph then states that only the northern section of the woodland is within the Site Boundary but this is incorrect. The section of	Paragraph 2.3.14 A narrow band of woodland approximately 40m in width located on the north side of Laporte Road; the same woodland band also continues south from Laporte Road and is essentially split into two halves by the road; <del>although only the northern section is within the Site Boundary.</del> Both sections of woodland are within the Site Boundary.

Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
				woodland to the south of Laporte Road is included in the redline site boundary however this is solely to temporarily restrict access to this area while construction works in the vicinity are being constructed.	<b>References in this document to Long Strip woodland relate to the section north of Laporte Road only.</b>
11	ES Chapter 9: Nature Conservation (Marine Ecology) [APP-051]	Paragraph 9.8.148	9-122	ES Chapter 9 [APP-051, Paragraph 9.8.148] refers to a distance of 1-2 m from the source of impact marine piling 1.5m diameter piles. This should be 1-2km.	The range at which the Ref 9-102 TTS and Ref 9-107 quantitative instantaneous peak SPL behaviour thresholds for percussive pile driving are reached indicates that there is a risk of a behavioural response in fish within around 2-3km from the source of impact marine piling for 2.3m diameter piles and <del>1-2 m</del> <b>1-2km</b> from the source of impact marine piling 1.5m diameter piles.
12	ES Appendix 18.A: Flood Risk Assessment [APP-209]	Paragraph 3.2.27	23	The FRA [APP-209, Paragraph 3.2.27] states compliance with the Sequential Test is demonstrated in the Planning, Design and	Given the above, the Sequential Test to site selection is technically required to ensure compliance with the NPSfP, the NPPF and

Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
				Access Statement. However, there is no such document title in the application and should refer instead to Planning Statement.	PPG. Compliance with the Sequential Test is demonstrated in the <del>Planning, Design and Access Statement</del> <b>Planning Statement (TR030008/APP/7.1)</b> .
13	ES Chapter 2: The Project [APP-044]	Paragraph 2.4.79	2-39	The ES [APP-044, Paragraph 2.4.79] refers to ES Table 2-9 in error and should refer to ES Table 2-10.	There would be six phases of development in total (see <del>Table 2-9</del> <b>Table 2-10</b> ).
14	Non technical summary [APP-042]	[APP-042, Paragraph 3.4.13]	10	Paragraph 3.4.13 of the Non-Technical Summary [APP-042, Paragraph 3.4.13] needs to be updated The Nitrogen generated in the hydrogen production unit will be (harmlessly) emitted with the flue gas rather than being used on site.  The nitrogen which is used to purge pipelines, pipes and vessels will be generated on site and this is referenced in Paragraph 3.4.4 J [APP-042].	The Nitrogen (which makes up 98% of the composition of ambient air) would be used <del>across all operational areas to purge pipelines, pipes and vessels.</del> harmlessly emitted with the hydrogen production unit flue gas.



Errata List No.	Document Title and Reference Number	Paragraph Number	Page Number	Nature of Amendment/ Reason for Change	Correction
15	ES Chapter 18: Water Use, Water Quality, Coastal Protection, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-060]	Table 18-1: Consultation summary table	18-31 and 18-37	The responses to North East Lindsey Drainage Board and the Canal and River Trust consultation response incorrectly refers to correspondence from Anglian Water on 27 July 2023 confirming the offer for non-potable supply.	The offer <del>now</del> received from Anglian Water <b>(dated 27 July 25 May 2023)</b> for a non-potable supply <del>in excess of that required</del> for the IGET project Phases 1 to 6.
16	ES Chapter 18: Water Use, Water Quality, Coastal Protection, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-060]	18.8.9	18-94	Incorrect reference to correspondence from Anglian Water on 27 July 2023 confirming the offer for non-potable supply.	A response received by Air Products from Anglian Water <b>in late July on 25 May 2023</b> indicates that a commercial offer has been made which would provide the water needs for the full Project (Phases 1 to 6).